Some Thoughts About Noise, Interference, the FCC & the ARRL



The Q-Track Corporation

Bob DePierre, K8KI

October 10, 2003

Characteristics of Broadband Noise

 \sim Average = 0 \backsim Statistically flat \rightarrow same at all freqs Several types of flat noise - Readily calculable RMS value - Dominant type is usually Thermal Noise $\sim 1/f$ noise $\rightarrow 0$ freq on spectrum analyzer \sim Noise in any resistor: $e_n^2 = 4kTRB$ \sim Matched Load Noise Power \rightarrow kTB Independent of Impedance - In "dBm" terms \rightarrow N_T = -174 + 10 Log(B)



RF Link Budgets

- The idea is to find the minimum SNR that provides acceptable performance.
- $P_{RX} = P_{TX} P_{losses}$ • Free Space Loss: $\frac{(4\pi r)^2}{\lambda^2}$
 - Doubling *range* or *freq* \rightarrow 6 dB loss
 - Line of Sight case



Receiver Sensitivity

System Noise Figure: $F_{Sys} = F_1 + \frac{F_2 - 1}{G_1} + \frac{F_3 - 1}{G_2} + \dots$





| | Ol | d | | New | |
|---|----|----|---|-----|----|
| F | 23 | dB | 4 | .15 | dB |



Ν



Amplifier Intercept Graph



Typical Ham Noise Problem



| | OLD | NEW |
|-------------|----------|----------|
| NF | 10 dB | 6.1 dB |
| Sensitivity | -130 dBm | -134 dBm |
| DR3 | 95 dB | 85 dB |



Determining Impact of Interference

- A How much interference does it take to degrade reception by an unacceptable amount?
 - Who determines what is an acceptable amount?
- Situation can be modeled and graphed.
 - Math models can predict performance.
 - Depends on assumptions.
 - Must determine
 - Required SNR at the detector for acceptable performance
 - Power of received signal
 - Signal handling characteristics of the receiver
 - What is the noise normally received
 - What is the power of the received interference



Determining the Impact of Interference

| | Freqs where only thermal noise is present is a lot easier than HF. |
|------|--|
| | Freqs propagating via LOS easier than those using lonosphere. |
| | Statistical modeling most often used (various distributions) Test results should be used to back up modeling rather than the reverse. |
| 1471 | Test results should be used to back up modeling rather than the reverse. |
| s Wi | nat outsiders often think of ARRL positions |
| | Special Interest Group. ALL interference is bad. |
| | Only consider test analysis. |
| | ARRL never predicts via math modeling. |
| | ARRL never does the test properly or fairly. |
| | ARRL never conducts tests with the right signal. |
| | "In your face" approach. Never negotiable. |
| | |
| | |