11 Epilogue

The Disturbing Part

We ended Chapter 1 with the fundamental question: *What is consciousness?* Step by step we have developed the answer to this ancient riddle, as formalized in Chapter 9:

Consciousness is the irreducible entity a computational machine perceives itself to be, as the result of (1) an ability to observe its own high level workings, and (2) an inability to observe its own low level workings.

This is a far-reaching idea, capable of merging the many facets of the mystery into a unified framework. It defines what consciousness is from the third-person view, including how to classify nonhuman computational machines that we may encounter in the future. At the same time it describes the nature of the first-person experience, where we each see our own mind as a "thing" rather than mere computational activity. And most important, the Inner Light theory tells us why there should be a mind-body problem in the first place, why this paradox is an unavoidable result of the evolutionary process and the way that reality is experienced.

However, this step forward also has a dark side, an aspect that many will find distasteful and disturbing. Man has always believed that he holds a special place in the universe. Science has often had the unpleasant task of showing that this belief is mistaken, thereby demoting us to a lower status in the scheme of things. For instance, 500 years ago Copernicus showed that the earth revolved around the sun, thereby displacing man from the center of the cosmos. Only 150 years ago, Darwin discovered that humans have a common origin with the other life forms on earth, thereby denying our claim of special birth. In the last century, science has shown that the universe is a hundred billion trillion miles across, and 10 billion years old. The shear size of these numbers seems to reduce mankind to an insignificant speck.

But through these disappointments we have been able to cling to a reassuring fact, *we are conscious*. While the universe is vast and ancient, it is unfeeling and unaware. This means that our minds entail something that is rare and remarkable, something that we do not see in the largest galaxy or the most brilliant supernova. We are conscious, and that makes us special.

Now, the Inner Light theory does not deny that we are conscious. On the contrary, it provides a scientific explanation of the inner world that we each experience, showing how it is part of our physical universe. Likewise, the Inner Light theory does not question that consciousness is extraordinary; present day computer scientists are awestruck by the technical abilities of the human mind.

But make no mistake, the Inner Light theory does dispatch many of our long held beliefs. Specifically, consciousness is not some mystical entity above and beyond the machine-like operations of the brain. Likewise, it does not require physical structures or properties that are unknown or unreachable by science, be they from Quantum Mechanics or an unseen spirit world. But perhaps most disconcerting, the Inner Light Theory tells us that many revered aspects of our mind are *limitations*, not positive attributes. Such things as semantic thought, mental unity, and free-will arise from systematic inaccuracies in our observations. It is ironic that the things we have come to cherish the most are, in fact, the inherent deficits of our mind.

Herein lies the rub. If human consciousness is based on limitations, then we can imagine something greater than ourselves, a self-awareness that does not have these limitations. Even further, we have every reason to believe that manmade computers will one day achieve this superior status, as may extraterrestrial beings, or altered humans. Consciousness can no longer be viewed as a pinnacle or crowning achievement, but must be accepted as merely one level in an infinite progression of computational complexity.

As with the work of Copernicus and Darwin in centuries past, the Inner Light theory displaces man from yet another special place in the universe. Science is often a cruel master, forcing us to accept that which we disdain. But the universe is what it is, and no amount of cursing at the round earth will cause it to become flat.

Index

Action potential, 24-30, 42 Airy disk, 73-75 Ambiguous figures, 130-131 Aphasia, 37-38 Assembly instructions (defined), 7-12 Blind spot in eye, 106-109 Bohr, Niels, 73, 76 Boring figure, 131 Brain in the vat, 87-91, 96, 99, 100, 105 Broca's area (brain), 33-34, 37 Candle flame example, 18-19, 71 Cerebellum (brain), 33-34 Cerebral cortex (brain), 32-34 Chalmers, David J., 69 Change blindness, 108-112 Chinese box, 64-65 Churchland, Patricia, 2, 62-63 Classical physics, 54 Collapse of the wave function, 75-79 Color perception, 115-122, 164 Communications channel, 12-14, 23, 57 Corpus callosum, 32, 35-37 Crick, Francis, 71 Death, 154 Dennett, Daniel, 67 Descartes, René, 35, 83-88

Deterministic, 54 Dreams, 1, 17, 68, 99-105, 112-114, 138-140 Drugs, 38, 40 Dualism, 67, 68-69, 80, 86 Edelman, Gerald M., 71 Einstein, Albert, 15, 52, 60-61, 76, 81-83 Elements-of-reality (defined), 11 Emergence, 7, 17-20, 42, 67, 71-72, 80 Emotions, 38, 40, 158-160 Empedocles, 15 Epileptic seizures, 36, 37 Epiphenomenalism, 67, 69-70, 80 Evil genius (Descartes'), 83-85, 88, 96, 99, 100 Evolution, 115, 166-167

Filtering (sensory analysis), 126-129 First-person (defined), 21, 45 Free-will, 2, 45, 53-55, 56, 59, 63 Frontal cortex (brain), 33-36 Fully-aware being, 150-151, 153-154, 156, 164 Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), 3 Functionalism, 43

Gage, Phineas, 34-36, 41 Galileo Galilei, 1, 54 Gestalt, 17 Godel, Kurt, 93 Godel Incompleteness Theorems, 93 Grandfather clock example, 7-8, 16-17 Gravity, 11, 82-83 Gray matter (brain), 32, 35 Hameroff, Stuart, 78 Herbert, Nick, 76 Heisenberg, Werner, 73, 76 H.M. (medical case), 36, 41 Heschl's gyri (brain), 33-34 Hippocampus (brain), 35-36 Hourglass example, 12-14 Idealism, 67-68, 77, 80 Information (defined), 11-14 Information-Limited Subreality (defined), 91-94 Inner Light episode, 5, 94-97, 99, 100Inner observer (defined), 91-92 Inner reality (defined), 92 Interference (sensory analysis), 126, 129 Introspection, 2, 21 Irreducible (defined), 11, 45 Jackson, Frank, 64 LaBerge, Stephen, 102 Language, 36, 37-38, 104 Life, the problem of, 58 Lucid dreams, 102-104 Magneto-Encephalography, 3 Major Teachings

#1. How We Understand Reality, 20

#2. Definition of the Mind-body problem, 66

#3. The Principle of Relative Reduction, 98

#4. The Subreality Machine in the Brain, 102 #5. The Origin of our Conscious Experience, 114 #6. The Function of the Subreality Machine, 136 #7. Definition of Consciousness, 155 Mary, color blind scientist, 64 Matching (sensory analysis), 126-133 Materialism, 67, 80 Memory, 31-32, 40, 41, 104, 134-135, 136-138 Mental unity, 45, 50, 59, 63, 146 Mind-body problem (defined), 2, 62 Mona Lisa, 106-107 Motor cortex (brain), 32-33 Multiple sclerosis, 26 Myelin, 26, 32 Nagel, Thomas, 63 Neural correlates of consciousness, 3 Neural network, 30, 32, 40, 71, 162 Nerve cells (neurons), 24-30 Neurotransmitter, 28, 38 Nodes of Ranvier, 26 Noise, 127, 129 Observer (defined), 81-83, 92, 146-147 Occipital lobe (brain), 33, 35 Outer observer (defined), 91-92 Outer reality (defined), 92

Paradox vs. simple ignorance, 57-58 Parkinson's disease, 35 Penrose, Roger, 78, 79 Phase lock loop, 128-130 Pineal gland (brain), 35, 86 Present tense, 45, 52-53, 56, 59 Principle of Relative Reduction, 5, 96-98, 153 Positron Emission Tomography (PET), 3 Principle of Relative Reduction, 96-98, 143-144 Quantum-gravity, 78 Quantum Mechanics, 5-6, 17, 54-55, 67, 72-79, 80 Quarks, 15 Qualia, 45, 47-49, 56, 59, 63, 146 Reality (defined), 11 Reduction, 7-22, 42 Relativity, 5-6, 17, 60-61, 81-83 Religion, 69 Rubin's vase, 131 Sagan, Carl, 68 Scott, Alywn, 71 Searle, John R., 64-65 Self-awareness, 141 Semantic thought, 45, 50-52, 56, 59, 63, 65, 146

Sensory cortex (brain), 32-33

Special child, 148-149, 154 Split-brain patients, 36, 37, 41 Star Trek, 5, 94-97, 99, 100 Strings, 15 Subreality machine, 102, 105-106 Substantia nigra (brain), 35 Synapse, 24, 26-32 Synaptic weights, 30-32, 162 Synesthesia, 38-40

Taylor, John G., 71 Thalamus, 35 Third-person (defined), 21, 23 Time, 11, 52-53, 88 Twin paradox, 60-61

Unconscious, 100-101, 105

Ventricles (brain), 35 Vital force (of life), 58 Von Neumann, John, 77, 78

Wave function, 75 Wernicke's area (brain), 33, 37-38, 41 White matter (brain), 32, 35